

STATENS BYGGEFORSKNINGSINSTITUT AALBORG UNIVERSITET KØBENHAVN



New European Daylighting Standard prEN 17037 Process and Expected Impact

Prof Marc Fontoynont Danish Building Research Institute (SBI-AAU) Convenor of EN TC169/W11 "Daylight"



Presentation of calibrated photorealistic images by pairs (Thurstone test) Video Projection, Full HD, 4K 8K, 12 K screens or Head Mounted Displays Low power (max 500 cd/m²), High power (up to 20 Kcd/m²), Crowd testing

Process:

Why a daylighting standard for European buildings?



Pantheon , Roma, House common to all Gods, 29-19 BC



Buildings need electric lights (during night-time)

Electric light can be used at day-time, and well adapted to functions

So maybe we do not need so much daylight (energy, costs, ...)?



Reasons for a daylight standard

Window industry, roof top manufacturers : no references to use in comparison to electric lighting industry...

Health organizations: psychological and physiological well-being,

University and research: powerful and well structured daylight community in Europe (Scandinavia, Germany, Austria, England, The Netherlands, Slovakia)



History

CEN TC 169 / WG11 « Daylight » Created in 2010 (The Netherlands, Denmark, Germany, UK, Czech Republic, Slovakia, Norway, France, Sweden, Italy) *Convenor: Peter Raynham (Bartlett School, UK)*

Then from 2014, New Convenor: Prof Marc Fontoynont, SBI -Aalborg University in Copenhagen, Denmark (elected by CEN TC 169 board)



Status 2014

Daylight, View, Sunlight, Glare are the components Frustration by industry: non applicable Complexity of document Relations with Germany (German Standard) Issue of calculations

So I started to make a critical analysis of the document, launched individual interview of contributors, and started with a number of new proposals

Status 2014 (continued)

Big debate concerning minimum requirements vs minimum recommendations

Strategy: define <u>normative</u> section and annexes, with minimum recommendations (which are <u>indicative</u>)

Also standard focus on spaces not on buildings. Standard should allow a <u>range</u> of minimum recommendations (minimum, medium, high)

About 50 Participants to manage



Jørgen Hagelund, DS, WG11 Secretary



Jens Christoffresen, Velux, , Danish Expert

Registered members:

M. Cyril Chain, France	
M. Nicolas Dupin, France	
M. Bernard Lepage, France	
Mrs. Khadija Loud, France	
M. Mohamed Trabelsi, France	
Mrs Eloise Sok, France	
Mr. Peter Dehoff, Austria	
Mr. Oliver Ebert, Austria	
Mr. Davide Siciliano, Austria	
Mr. Dorin Beu, Romania	
Mr. Dimcho Mihailov, Bulgaria	
Mr. John Mardaljevic, United Kingdom	ı
Mr. Peter Raynham, United Kingdom	
Mr. Peter Thorns, United Kingdom	
Mr. Koen Chielens, CEN	
Mr. Knud Skovgaard Nielsen, CEN	
Mr Wolfgang Cornelius, Germany	
Mr Roman Jakobiak, Germany	
Mr. Sohéil Moghtader, Germany	
MzrsMartina Knoop, Germany	
Mr Martin Sengebusch, Germany	
Mr. Per Arnold Andersen, Denmark	
Mr Jens Christoffersen, Denmark	
Mr. Jørgen Hagelund, Denmark	

Mr. Levente Filetóth, Hungary

Mr. Arnaud Deneyer, Belgium Mr. Bertrand Deroisy, Belgium Mr. Tom Vandamme, Belgium Ms. Wiene Fokkinga, Netherlands Ms. Lisette Groeneveld, Netherlands Mrs G.J. Hordijk, Netherlands Mr. H.J.J. Meutzner, Netherlands Mr P.H.M. Vierhout, Netherlands Ms. Nancy Westerlane, Netherlands Mr. Tommy Govén, Sweden Mr. Rodrigo Muro, Sweden Mr. Björn Nilsson, Sweden Ms. Ulla Rosenius, Sweden Mr Grega Bizjak, Slovenia Ms. Barbara Matusiak, Norway Mr. Daniel Tschudy, Switzerland Mr Jan Wienold, Germany / Swizzerland Mr. Stanislav Darula, Slovakia Mr. Giuseppe Giuffrida, Italy Mr. Paolo Soardo, Italy Mrs. Jitka Mohelnikova, Czech Republic Mr. Marcel Pelech, Czech Republic Mrs. Martina Sapletalova, Czech Republic

Organization of the work:

- Two meetings per year +skype/webex,
- 2 webex per year with General secretary of TC169 (Sohéil Moghtader, DIN, Berlin)
- All contribution by members sent 2 weeks before meeting in a written form,
- Compromise to be achieved during meetings. In case of disagreement, next propositions should be made in written form, with arguments



Usually around 20 participants out of 50 experts...

External obstacles:

Building engineers: daylighting cannot be approached independently from <u>energy</u> concern.

Lighting industry: standard shoudl promote use of <u>daylight</u> <u>sensors/controls</u> in luminaires, value combination of daylight and electric light.

City planners: providing daylight at lower levels of buildings And in areas construction is dense / spaces vs buildings

Attention!

This standard do not target the calculation of energy savings due to daylight CEN 15193 Energy requirements (LENI)

It is not defining daylight levels at work places CEN 12 464-1 Lighting of Indoor Work Places

It does not linked to energy regulations which are conducted at the national level

It does not propose calculations methods, just target performance criteria



Levels exceeded 50% of daylight hours per year

Table 1 — Recommendations of daylight provision by daylight openings in a vertical and inclined surface

Level for daylight openings in a vertical and inclined surface	Target illuminance E _T (lx)	Fraction of space for target level F _{plane,%}	Minimum target illuminance E _{TM} (Ix)	Fraction of space for minimum target level F _{plane,%}
Minimal	300	50%	100	95%
Medium	500	50%	300	95%
High	750	50%	500	95%

* the recommended values of daylight factors to be used for the method 2 for each performance level should be extracted from Table A.3. The minimum target daylight factor (D_T) corresponding to the target illuminance level and the minimum target daylight factor (D_{TM}) corresponding to the minimum target illuminance shall be selected based on the geographical location of the considered building.

Recommandations for daylight provision in (pr)EN 17037 - 2017







Table 1 — Assessment of the view outwards from a given position.

	Rating of view-out				
Parameter*	minimum	medium	high		
Width of view window(s), horizontal sight angle	≥ 14°	≥ 28°	≥ 54°		
Outside distance of the view	≥ 6 m	≥ 20 m	≥ 50 m		
Number of layers to be seen from at least 75% of utilized area: - sky - landscape (urban and/or nature) - ground	At least landscape layer is included	minimum two layers are included in the same view window	all layers are included in the same view window		

For a space with room depth more than 5 m, it is recommended that the respective sum of the view window(s) dimensions is at least 1m x 1.25 m (width x height).

Recommandations for view out in (pr) EN 17037 - 2017



minimum exposure to su medium exposure to su high exposure to sunlig

A.1 Recommendations for exposure to sunlight

The minimum recommendation is that the space should receive possible sunlight for a duration at least exposure to sunlight after Table A.5 (supposed to be cloudless) on a selected date between February 1^{st} and March 21^{st} .

Table A.5 proposes three levels for exposure to sunlight. See Annex D for further details.

When applying the recommendation to a whole dwelling, the proposal is that at least one room in the dwelling should have at least exposure to sunlight after Table A.5.

	Sunlight exposure
ninimum exposure to sunlight	1.5 hours
nedium exposure to sunlight	3.0 hours
nigh exposure to sunlight	4.0 hours

Table 1 — Recommendation for daily sunlight exposure.

Recommandations for minimum exposure to sunlight in (pr) EN 17037 - 2017



Limitations of access to daylight related to street width and building height



From inside buildings, only sections of sky ar visible Marc Fontoynont et al, Building with Daylight, Construire avec la lumière naturelle, CSTB, 2011



Standard will facilitate sizing of roof apertures





And borrowed light solutions







Exploration on the effect of balcony sizes in relation to daylight penetration in appartments, and duration of sunlight penetrations



Exploration of various alternatives to improve status of roof tops in supermakets

Assessment of daylight availability in dense urban areas, study of impacts of construction schemes.

Marc Fontoynont, 2006-2009 Albany, NY, USA Wanchai, Hong Kong,

Hong-Kong



Expected impact

Adjustment and **homogenization** of presentation of performances by window manufacturers

Allowing building clients/owners to write specifications with various levels of performances

Raise interest around the potential of daylighting

Involve software developers, labelling organizations

Actions to conduct

Bring stakeholders together during workshops and present successful and convincing case studies

Establish a **monitoring** of the impact of the standard on building construction in the next 10-20 years.



Final voting by countries in process until 28 June, Translation in German and French and other languages in process Integration of some aspects of EN 17037 in Danish BR18 – Kjeld Johnsen, SBI-AAU

